JEB Journal of Environmental Biology



Effectiveness of *Azotobacter* bio-inoculant for wheat grown under dryland condition

N.K. Singh*, F.K. Chaudhary and D.B. Patel

Department of Microbiology, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, S.K. Nagar-385 506, India *Corresponding Author email: nirbhaysingh78@gmail.com

Abstract

Publication Info

Paper received: 06 March 2012

Revised received: 11 July 2012

Accepted: 13 August 2012

Judicious use of chemicals along with biofertilizers and organic resources are advocated for sustaining crop productivity and soil health, and meeting a part of fertilizer requirement for different crops. In the present study, different combinations of nitrogen (urea and/or farmyard manure) doses with *Azotobacter* strain (Azo-8) were experimented for a dryland wheat variety GW-273. It was observed that the combination of *Azotobacter* strain (Azo-8) along with urea (60KgN ha⁻¹) and farm yard manure (40KgN ha⁻¹) gave the best response. It resulted in more than 23% and 36% increase in shoot fresh weight and dry weight, 26% and 38% increase in root fresh weight and dry weight, 39% increase in test weight of seeds and 27% increase in yield over control. The results of present experiments can be utilized in integrated nutrient management for wheat cultivation in dryland areas to provide sustainability to the agricultural productivity.

Kev words

Azotobacter, Bacterization, Bio-inoculant, Dryland, Wheat

Introduction

Wheat is the most important cereal crop grown in different parts of the world. It is the staple food for over 35% of the global population and provides more calories and proteins in the diet (Laegreid et al., 1999). Most of Indian agricultural lands are deprived of some of the essential nutrients for growth and development of crop plants and one of them is nitrogen. The importance of nitrogen in plants nutrition was first established by the classical work of Boussingault as early as 1838. Nitrogen is required in large quantities by plants for proper growth and yield and is one of the basic constituent of proteins and nucleic acids (Lawlor, 2002). Nitrogen is provided to the cereal crops mainly in the form of synthetic chemical fertilizer, urea (Ladha et al., 2005). Excessive synthetic chemical fertilizers pose a health hazard and adversely affect soil microflora besides being quite expensive and raise the production cost of the marginal farmers (Stefan et al., 2008).

Biofertilizers are inputs containing microorganism

which are capable of mobilizing nutritive elements from complex and non usable form to simple and usable form through biological processes (Cakmake et al., 2007). Azotobacter is a gram negative, aerobic, free-living, heterotrophic, nitrogen-fixing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) which survive in soil for longer period forming cyst and are known to stimulate plant growth either by facilitating the plant's uptake of certain nutrients from the environment or by production of phytohormones (auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins) (Joseph et al., 2007) or enzyme ACC (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate) deaminase (Shaharoona et al., 2006). Moreover, PGPR protect the plants against soil borne phytopathogens by production of antimicrobial metabolites including siderophores e.g., production of azotobactin by Azotobacter vinelandii (Husen, 2003).

The immediate response to soil inoculation with PGPR varies considerably depending on the bacterium, plant species, soil type, inoculant density and environmental conditions (Bent *et al.*, 2001). Isolation and characterization

928 N.K. Singh et al.

of native strains adapted to the local environment may contribute to the formulation of an effective bio-inoculant because; indigenous strains of rhizobacteria generally possess more competitive ability to survive and influence the growth of inoculated plants (Khalid *et al.*, 2004). *Azotobacter* inoculation has been earlier reported to influence seed germination, seedling growth, and increase in yield of cereals upto 30% (Gholami *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, in the present study native strains of *Azotobacter* isolated from the rhizosphere of wheat in order to evaluate their ability to promote wheat growth under dryland condition under different doses of urea and farm yard manure (FYM).

Materials and Methods

Azotobacter bio-inoculant : *Azotobacter* strain (Azo-8), a local isolate from the wheat field of S.D. Agricultural University, Gujarat, India was grown in 100 ml of autoclaved Jensen's broth medium in 500 ml flasks on a rotary shaker at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 7 days (Kundu and Gaur, 1980). The viable cells in batches of cultures ranged from $0.6 \times 10^{\circ}$ - $1.0 \times 10^{\circ}$ cells ml⁻¹. These cultures were then used for preparation of *Azotobacter* bio-inoculant with autoclaved powdered lignite as carrier (Jahuri, 1988).

Field trials and study of plant growth parameters: North Gujarat falls under the semi-arid region and receives an average annual rainfall of about 550 mm. The soil of the experimental plot is of sandy loam type and contains about 83.9% sand, 5.55% silt and 9.83% clay. The field experiments were conducted during the two Rabi seasons of 2008 and 2009 at the agronomy instructional farm of S.D. Agricultural University. The control plot (T₁) was not supplemented with FYM/urea, and the seeds sown in these plots were not treated with bio-inoculant; whereas, the seeds in treatment T, were only treated with the bio-inoculant and other fertilizers were not applied. Experimental plots T₆ and T₇ were manured with basal application of FYM (0.5% N) during final land preparation @ of 4.0 and 8.0 t ha⁻¹, respectively. Hence, the various treatments were T₁ (control, N0P0K0), T_2 (Azo-8 only), T_3 (Azo-8 + 20kg N ha⁻¹, urea), T_4 (Azo-8 + 40kg N ha^{-1} , urea), $T_5(\text{Azo-8} + 60 \text{kg N ha}^{-1}$, urea), $T_6(\text{Azo-}$ $8 + 60 \text{kg N ha}^{-1}$, urea $+ 20 \text{kg N ha}^{-1}$, FYM), T_7 (Azo-8 + 60 kgN ha⁻¹, urea + 40kg N ha⁻¹, FYM), and T_s (120kg N ha⁻¹, urea). The nitrogen levels applied through urea/FYM were 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 and 120kg ha⁻¹. Half dose of urea (as per treatments) and entire P (@60 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) were applied at sowing, while remaining half of urea was applied at 28 days after sowing. The seeds of wheat (Triticum aestivum) cv. GW-273 (seed rate 100 kg ha⁻¹) were treated with the prepared Azotobacter bio-inoculant (@25 g kg⁻¹ of seeds), dried in shade and sown in respective plots as per treatment. The experiment was conducted in a randomised block design with three replications (Panse and Sukhatme, 1957).

The root fresh weight, root dry weight, shoot fresh weight, shoot dry weight at 4 weeks, number of productive tillers m² at maturity, seed test weight, yields of the wheat crops from the various treatment plots and IAA production by *Azotobacter* isolate was observed. Statistical analysis was done by the method of analysis of variance (Fisher, 1958) and critical difference (CD) was calculated at 5% level of significance using M-STATC software. Rhizospheric soil sample were taken at three weeks intervals from each plot and bacterial population was determined by dilution plating method after 3rd, 6th, 9th, and 12th weeks of sowing. The numbers of *Azotobacter* in suitable dilutions were counted on Jensen's nitrogen free medium.

IAA production: Bacteria were cultured overnight in Luria-Bertani broth in the dark at 30°C. The bacterial cells were removed from the culture medium by centrifugation at 8,000Xg for 10 min. 1 ml of supernatant was mixed vigorously with 2 ml of Salkowski's reagent (4.5 g of FeCl₃ per liter in 10.8 M H₂SO₄) and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at 535 nm (Benizri *et al.*, 1998). The concentration of IAA was determined by comparison with standard curve and the amount of IAA produced was expressed as ppm.

Results and Discussion

The native strain of *Azotobacter* (Azo-8) was isolated, cultured and used as a bio-inoculant. The number of productive tillers m^2 was recorded highest in the treatment T_g where N was applied only through urea @120 kg N ha⁻¹. However, the yield obtained per hectare was highest in the treatment Azo-8+60kg N ha⁻¹ (Urea) +40 kg N ha⁻¹ (FYM) (Table 1). The application of nitrogen through FYM and *Azotobacter* (Azo-8) strain played synergistic role along with the nitrogenous fertilizer in increasing wheat yield. With increase in dose of nitrogen application increase in grain yield was observed from treatments T_1 to T_7 . Several studies have revealed the beneficial effects of these bacteria in the improvement of crop growth and yield.

The native strain isolated from a particular region is generally considered better competitor in comparison to non-native strains (Khalid *et al.*, 2004). An important factor to be considered while screening for new isolates is their activity in the environments where they are expected to be used (Ross *et al.*, 2000). *Azotobacter* and graded doses of nitrogen increase phosphorus and potassium uptake by plants significantly (Agrawal *et al.*, 2004). They further concluded that inoculation of *Azotobacter* could save about 20 kg fertilizer nitrogen in wheat crop.

The *Azotobacter* population was recorded in different experimental plots at three weeks interval. Least

Table 1: Effect of Azotobacter bio-inoculant on formation of productive tillers m² and yield (t ha⁻¹) in wheat grown under dryland condition

Treatments		2008		2009)
		No. of productive (tillers m ⁻²)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	No. of productive (tillers m ⁻²)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
Τ,	Control (N0P0K0)	64.76	2.82	66.94	2.89
T_2	Azo-8	69.82	3.09	67.92	2.94
T_3^2	Azo-8+ 20kg N ha-1 (Urea)	70.84	3.22	71.66	3.27
T_4	Azo-8+ 40kg N ha-1 (Urea)	73.85	3.36	75.21	3.47
T_5	Azo-8+ 60kg N ha-1 (Urea)	78.56	3.53	80.14	3.66
T_6	Azo-8+ 60kg N ha-1 (Urea) + 20kg N ha-1 (FYM)	85.75	3.69	86.63	3.77
T_7°	Azo-8+ 60kg N ha ⁻¹ (Urea) + 40kg N ha ⁻¹ (FYM)		3.91	93.59	4.05
$T_{8}^{'}$	120 kg N ha ⁻¹ (Urea)	97.24	3.87	96.16	3.82
0	SEM	0.82	0.02	0.38	0.03
	CD @ 5%	2.493	0.074	1.150	0.106
	C.V.	1.800	1.223	0.823	1.737

population count of the bacterium was observed in the control whereas; the highest population was recorded in the treatment with Azo8+60KgN ha⁻¹ (urea) + 40kg N ha⁻¹ (FYM) (Table 2). This may be because Azotobacter being a heterotrophic bacteria and thus require organic carbon sources which was abundant in the field supplied with FYM (Narayan and Kehri, 2011). The population of Azotobacter in all the treatments increased and reached maximum after 6 weeks of sowing, followed by a decline. Although yield obtained with T_o was comparable or higher than most of the treatments except T₇ whereas; the Azotobacter count in this case was poor than the best treatment. Heavy doses of nitrogenous fertilizers not only adversely affect the activity of the diazotrophs but also their population (Mahajan et al., 2007; Mandic et al., 2005). Higher Azotobacter counts have been recorded in the fields treated with mineral fertilizers along with FYM and lower counts in the fields treated with heavy application of mineral fertilizers (Mahajan et al., 2007; Narayan and Kehri, 2011).

Table 2 : Rhizospheric *Azotobacter* population at intervals of three weeks

Treatments	Azotobacter population (x 10 ⁴ cfu g ⁻¹ of rhizospheric soil)						
	3 weeks	6 weeks	9 weeks	12 weeks			
Τ,	32	115	85	34			
$\Gamma_2^{'}$	125	230	170	73			
Γ_3^2	131	243	195	91			
$\Gamma_4^{'}$	174	269	201	127			
Γ_{5}^{7}	240	317	225	180			
Γ_6^3	285	347	245	183			
Γ_7°	649	743	465	250			
$\Gamma_{\circ}^{'}$	143	239	184	124			

Note: The values are mean of the bacterial populations recorded for the year 2008 and 2009

In the present experiment, shoot fresh and dry weight increased steadily with increase in nitrogen dose under various treatments. However, this increase stopped at T₇ and a slight reduction in both shoot fresh and dry weight was observed in the treatment with N-application exclusively through urea @120 kg ha⁻¹ (T_o). A more or less similar trend was observed in the case of root fresh and dry weight of the wheat plants. The shoot fresh weight in T_a observed was 273.8mg and 276.2mg; shoot dry weight 39.2mg and 40.8mg; root fresh weight 124.4mg 129.6mg; and root dry weight 11.9mg and 12.1mg for the two years (Table 3). Fischer et al. (2007) reported an increase of 45% in root dry weight and 23% in shoot dry weight with Azotobacter (isolate SF4c) bio-inoculant in comparison to that of control. The effects of plant growth promoting bacteria on shoot fresh and dry weight and root fresh and dry weight of wheat plants has also been studied by other workers (Bellis and Ercolani, 2001; Fischer et al., 2007).

The amount of indole acetic acid (IAA) produced by the experimental strain (Azo-8) in the present study was 20.24 ppm. This amount of IAA production closely corresponded to that of many strains of *Azotobacter* (Paul and Verma, 2005). IAA-producing PGPR strains lead to vigorous root growth resulting in greater root surface area and thus enabling the plant to access more nutrients from the soil (Vessey, 2003). The presence of IAA and related compounds could be demonstrated for many diazotrophs like, *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*, *Azospirillum spp.*, *Azotobacter*, and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* (Dobbelaere *et al.*, 2003). Native strains promoted the wheat growth probably because they possessed some PGPR traits such as siderophore or IAA production or solubilization of phosphate (Richardson, 2001).

Test weight (1000 grain weight) observed was highest (42.07g) in the treatment with the bio-inoculant (Azo-8) plus

930 N.K. Singh et al.

Table 3: Effect of Azotobacter bio-inoculant on different growth parameters of wheat grown under dryland condition

Treatments	Shoot			Root			1000 grain				
	Fresh weight		Dry weight		Fresh	Fresh weight		Dry weight		weight (g)	
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	
T,	207.40	212.60	24.20	25.80	86.30	95.70	6.60	7.40	25.22	25.54	
T,	223.50	218.50	26.90	26.10	99.80	96.20	8.10	7.90	29.83	29.25	
Τ,	224.00	232.00	26.20	29.80	101.50	108.50	8.20	8.80	32.15	32.81	
T_{A}	225.80	238.20	28.30	31.70	104.60	109.40	8.60	9.40	34.42	34.82	
T,	235.60	244.40	32.50	35.50	107.80	114.20	9.70	10.30	36.78	36.94	
T ₆	258.00	266.00	36.60	37.40	112.70	117.30	10.60	11.40	39.53	39.71	
T_7°	273.8	276.20	39.20	40.80	124.40	129.60	11.90	12.10	41.96	42.18	
T' ₈	273.70	268.30	38.90	36.10	122.80	115.20	11.80	11.20	39.32	39.16	
SĚM	3.10	2.29	0.94	0.67	1.59	2.14	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.09	
CD @ 5%	9.408	6.949	2.865	2.041	4.821	6.497	0.395	0.405	0.415	0.273	
C.V.	2.236	1.623	5.178	3.543	2.561	3.350	2.390	2.359	0.680	0.446	

Note: (1) The values of shoot and root fresh weight and dry weight are in terms of milligram (2) Shoot and root fresh weight and dry weight values show an average of twenty random samples for each replication and treatment (n=3)

60 kgN ha⁻¹ (urea) and 40 kg N ha⁻¹ (FYM); whereas, the least test weight was observed in control (25.38g) (Table 3). Singh and Agarwal (2001) reported that the application of FYM @ 20 t ha-1 recorded significantly higher test weight and seed yield compared to that of control in wheat. Datta et al. (2009) also got higher test weight and seed yield with reduced chemical fertilizer dose along with biofertilizer (Azophos) and organic manure (compost). PGPR are known to influence plant growth and development by the production of phytohormones such as auxins. gibberellins, and cytokinins. The rhizobacteria capable of producing growth regulators have stimulatory effect on the plant growth by influencing the increased uptake of N, P, K, Ca and Mg by plants from the soil (Farzana and Radizah, 2005). Enhancement in the number of productive tillers, dry-matter, and grain yield occurs in response to application of Azotobacter bio-inoculant (Shaharoona et al., 2006; Yasari and Patwardhan, 2007). However, such growth responses are variable depending on the fertility status of soil and the variety of the crop planted (Subba Rao et al., 1980).

FYM serves to improve the physico-chemical properties of soil and serves to support a higher number of microbial populations which in turn mineralizes the unavailable form of nutrients and thus make them available to the plants. Such beneficial microorganisms produce several growth factors and phytohormones favourable for plant health and yield (Dobbelaere *et al.*, 2003). FYM and microbial culture improve the microclimate of the rhizospheric region. FYM support a wide variety of microorganisms which compete and suppress many of the harmful soil borne plant pathogens. The increased N-uptake and better utilization of added manures and fertilizers by plants due to these bacteria might be one of the causes for

increase in shoot and root dry weight and seed test weight of wheat crop (Yasari and Patwardhan, 2007).

The results revealed that seed inoculation with Azotobacter significantly increased the growth parameters viz. tillers, dry-matter accumulation and grain yield of wheat. Seed bacterization with Azo-8 plus 60 kg N ha-1 (urea) and @ 40 kg N ha⁻¹ (FYM) was found to be the most responsive treatment. The result clearly indicated that there is a saving of 20 kg N ha⁻¹, if Azotobacter (strain Azo-8) culture was used (seed treatment) along with 60Kg N ha-1 through urea and 40 kg N ha-1 through FYM. 75 % nutrient supply through chemical fertilizers and 25 % through organic sources resulted in equal yield as obtained by application of cent per cent nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in Maize-wheat cropping system (Pathak et al., 2002). An improvement in crop performance might be attributed to the N₂-fixing and phosphate solubilising capacity of Azotobacter as well as the ability of these microorganisms to produce growth promoting substances (Salantur et al., 2006).

The existence of favourable nutritional environment under synergistic influence of biofertilizers, FYM and inorganic fertil-izers possibly have favourable impact on the vegetative and reproduc-tive growth, which ultimately lead to the realization of higher yield (Pathak *et al.*, 2002). PGPR traits are supposed to be commonly distributed among many different species and genera of microorganisms, many of which are native members of the soil microbial community; and most frequently the action of such native strains are multidimensional (Martinez-Viveros *et al.*, 2010). The current observation suggest that inoculation of *Azotobacter* could save about 20 kg fertilizer nitrogen in wheat crop and still result in better plant performance and higher *Azotobacter*

population in soil. Hence, it is concluded that the bacterial fertilizers act as a supplement to the chemical fertilizers and farmyard manure for better plant performance. This study further reflect the importance of simultaneous screening of indigenous rhizobacterial strains for growth and yield promotion under pot and field experiment as a tool to select efficient PGPR for bio-fertilizer development strategy. This may be helpful in reducing the cost of cultivation and simultaneously contribute to save the agroecosystems from getting polluted.

Acknowledgments

The authors humbly acknowledge the facilities and financial support provided by the Vice Chancellor, S.D. Agricultural University.

References

- Agrawal, N., H.P. Singh and U.S. Savita: Effect of *Azotobacter* inoculation and graded doses of nitrogen on the content, uptake and yield of wheat in a Mollisol. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, **38**, 288-292 (2004).
- Bellis, P. and G.L. Ercolani: Growth interactions during bacterial colonization of seedling rootlets. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 67, 1945–1948 (2001).
- Benizri, E., A. Courtade, C. Picard and A. Guckert: Role of maize root exudates in the production of auxins by *Pseudomonas* fluorescens M.3.1. Soil Biol. Biochem., 30, 1481-1484 (1998).
- Bent, E., S. Tuzun, C.P. Chanway and S. Enebak: Alterations in plant growth and in root hormone levels of lodgepole pines inoculated with rhizobacteria. Can. *J. Microbiol.*, **47**, 793–800 (2001).
- Cakmakc, R., M.F. Donmez and U. Erdogan: The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on barley seedling growth, nutrient uptake, some soil properties, and bacterial counts. *Turk. J. Agri. Forest.*, **31**, 189-199 (2007).
- Datta, J.K., A. Banerjee, M.S. Sikdar, S. Gupta and N.K. Mondal: Impact of combined exposure of chemical, fertilizer, bio-fertilizer and compost on growth, physiology and productivity of *Brassica campestries* in old alluvial soil. *J. Environ. Biol.*, 30, 797-800 (2009).
- Dobbelaere, S., J. Vanderleyden and Y Okon: Plant growth promoting effects of diazotrophs in the rhizosphere. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, **22**, 107-149 (2003).
- Farzana, Y. and O. Radizah: Influence of rhizobacterial inoculation on growth of the sweet potato cultivar. *Online J. Biol. Sci.*, 1, 176-179 (2005).
- Fischer, S.E., S.I. Fischer, S. Magris and G.B. Mori: Isolation and characterization of bacteria from the rhizosphere of wheat. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 23, 895-903 (2007).
- Fisher, R.A.: Statistical Methods for Research Workers. 13th Edn., Oliver and Boyd, London (1958).
- Gholami, A., S. Shahsavani and S. Nezarat: The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on germination, seedling growth and yield of maize. *Int. J. Biol. Life Sci.*, 1, 35-40 (2009).
- Husen, E.: Screening of soil bacteria for plant growth promotion activities *in vitro*. *Indo. J. Agri. Sci.*, **4**, 27-31 (2003).
- Jahuri, K.S.: Influence of certain carrier additives on *Rhizobium* survival, nodulation and dry matter yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Geobios.*, **15**, 214-219 (1988).

- Joseph, B., R.R. Patra and R. Lawrence: Characterization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria associated with chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L*). *Int. J. Plant Prod.*, 1, 141-152 (2007).
- Khalid, A., M. Arshad and Z.A. Zahir: Screening plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving growth and yield of wheat. J. Appl. Microbiol., **96**, 473-480 (2004).
- Kundu, B.S. and A.C. Gaur: Establishment of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in rhizosphere and their effect on yield and nutrient uptake of wheat crop. *Plant and Soil*, 57, 223-230 (1980).
- Ladha, J.K., H. Pathak, T.J. Krupnik, J. Six and C.V. Kessel: Efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen in cereal production: retrospects and prospects. Adv. Agron., 87, 85-156 (2005).
- Laegreid, M., O.C. Bockman and O. Kaarstad: World cereal production-challenges and opportunities. In: Agriculture, fertilizers and environment. CABI publishing in association with Norsk Hydro ASA, UK, ISBN: 0-85199-358-3, pp. 219-234 (1999).
- Lawlor, D.W.: Carbon and nitrogen assimilation in relation to yield: Mechanisms are the key to understanding production systems. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **53**, 773–787 (2002).
- Mahajan, S., S.S. Kanwar, P. Kumari and S.P. Sharma: Long-term effect of mineral fertilizers and amendments on microbial dynamics in an alfisol of Western Himalayas. *Ind. J. Microbiol.*, 47, 86-89 (2007).
- Mandic, L., D. Djukic and V. Stevovic: The effect of different kinds of fertilizers on the number of Azotobacter in the smonitza type of soil under maize and the yield of maize. Acta Agriculturae Serbica., 20, 11-22 (2005).
- Martinez-Viveros, O., M.A. Jorquera, D.E. Crowley, G. Gajardo and M.L. Mora: Mechanisms and practical considerations involved in plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 10, 293-319 (2010).
- Narayan, R.P. and H.K. Kehri: Effect of different agricultural practices on population dynamics of *Azotobacter chroococcum* and on its nitrogen fixing potentiality in Trans-Ganga and Trans-Yamuna plains of India. *Acta Agriculturae Serbica.*, **16**, 97-122 (2011).
- Panse, V.G. and P.V. Sukhatme: Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi (1957).
- Pathak, S.K., S.B. Singh and S.N. Singh: Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics in maize (*Zea mays*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Ind. J. Agron.*, 47, 325-332 (2002).
- Paul, S. and O.P. Verma: Azotobacter bio-inoculants in sustainable agriculture. In: Advances in Microbiology (Ed. B.D. Kaushik). IARI, New Delhi, pp. 135-146 (2005).
- Richardson, A.E.: Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by plants. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, **28**, 897–906 (2001).
- Ross, I.L., Y. Alami, P.R. Harvey, W. Achouak and M.H. Ryder: Genetic diversity and biological control activity of novel species of closely related *Pseudomonads* isolated from wheat field soils in South Australia. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 66, 1609–1616 (2000).
- Salantur, A., A. Ozturk and S. Akten: Growth and yield response of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to inoculation with rhizobacteria. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 52, 111-118 (2006).
- Shaharoona, B., M. Arshad, Z.A. Zahir and A. Khalid: Performance of *Pseudomonas* spp. containing ACC-deaminase for improving growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays L.*) in the presence of nitrogenous fertilizer. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 38, 2971-2975 (2006).
- Singh, R. and S.K. Agarwal: Growth and yield of wheat (*Tritium aestivum*) as influenced by level of farm yard manure and nitrogen. *Ind. J. Agron.* **46**, 462-467 (2001).

Stefan, M., M. Mihasan and S. Dunca: Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria can inhibit the *in vitro* germination of *Glycine max* L seeds. Scientific Annals of University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" Iasi, Section Genetics and Molecular Biology, T. IX, 3, 105-110 (2008).

Subba Rao, N.S., K.V.B.R. Tilak, M. Lakshmikumari and C.S. Singh:

Azospirillum a new bacterial fertilizer. Ind. Farm., 30, 3-5 (1980). Vessey, J.K.: Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant and Soil, 255, 571–586 (2003).

Yasari, E. and A.M. Patwardhan: Effects of *Aztobacter* and *Azospirillium* inoculations and chemical fertilizers on growth and productivity of Canola. *Asian J. Plant. Sci.*, **6**, 77-82 (2007).

