

September 2010, 31(5) 873-876 (2010) For personal use only Commercial distribution of this copy is illegal

Effects of calcium nitrate and humic acid on pepper seedling growth under saline condition

Fusun Gulser*, Ferit Sonmez and Sibel Boysan

Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Yuzuncu Yil University, 65080 Van, Turkey

(Received: March 13, 2009; Revised received: October 15, 2009; Accepted: December 10, 2009)

Abstract: This study was carried out in order to determine effects of calcium nitrate and humic acid applications on pepper seedling growth under saline condition. Amixture of soil:sand (1:1) was used as a plant growing media including $90 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} P_2 O_5$, $180 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} K_2 O_3$ and 250 mg kg⁻¹ N. Four different doses of humic acid (0, 1000, 2000 and 4000 mg kg⁻¹) and calcium nitrate (0, 50, 100 and 150 mg kg⁻¹) were applied into the growing media. Before sowing Demre variety pepper seeds, 60 m mol NaCl was added into 300 cm^3 soil:sand mixture in each pot. Applications of humic acid and calcium nitrate significantly affected pepper seedling growth. $1000 \text{ and } 2000 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ humic acid and 50 mg kg^{-1} calcium nitrate applications increased fresh and dry leaf weight, fresh and dry root weight, stem diameter, root length and shoot length. The highest rates of humic acid (4000 mg kg^{-1}) and calcium nitrate ($100 \text{ and } 150 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) decreased these criteria of pepper seedling under the saline soil condition.

Key words: Pepper seedling, Salt stress, Humic acid, Calcium nitrate, Growth PDF of full length paper is available online

Introduction

Soil salinity is one of the most important problems in dry and semi-dry climate areas of the world (Maas and Grattan, 1999). One-third of the world's arable land has been affected by soil salinity (Lazof and Berstein, 1999). Salts tend to accumulate in soil surface due to intensive evaporation conditions and insufficient leaching process (De Pascale and Barbieri, 1997). Accumulated salts deteriorate some soil physical and chemical properties. Excess exchangeable Na and high soil pH cause deformation of soil structure, decreases in hydraulic conductivity and infiltration rate of soils (Lauchli and Epstein, 1990). Crop productivity can be endangered by the negative changes in soil properties due to salinization.

Higher salt concentration in soil prevents plant growth (Mer et al., 2000; Bhattacharjee, 2008; Ozdener and Kutbay, 2008) and growing plants can be died by the excess salt concentration (Donahue et al., 1983). Salt stress in plants influence some basic plant metabolic process such as, photosynthesis, energy and lipid metabolism and protein synthesis (Parida and Das, 2005). It is known that photosynthetic reactions are influenced directly or indirectly due to the changes in structural organization and physicochemical properties of thylakoid membranes by salt stress (Karim et al., 1999; Lichtenthaler et al., 2005). Kim et al. (2009) found that increased endogenous gibberallins by jasmonic acid promoted plant growth such as dry weight of shoot and root in spinach plants under salt stress. They reported that NaCl inhibited reduction in dry weights was more decreased in root tissues than in shoot tissues.

Humic substances such as humic acid, fulvic acid, are the major components (65-70%) of soil organic matter, increase plant growth enormously due to increasing cell membrane permeability, respiration, photosynthesis, oxygen and phosphorus uptake, and supplying root cell growth (Cacco and Dell Agnolla, 1984; Russo and Berlyn, 1990). It has been reported by many researchers that calcium has a positive effects on increasing plant tolerance to salts in saline soils (Ehret et al., 1990). Calcium plays regulator role in metabolism (Cramer et al., 1991). There was a competition between Na and Ca ions to enter into cell membrane. Therefore, it has been defended that higher calcium levels in soil protect cell membrane from negative effects of salinity (Busch, 1995). The objective of this study was to determine the effects of calcium and humic acid applications on pepper seedling growth under saline condition.

Materials and Methods

In this study, 1:1 ratio of soil:sand mixture was used as a plant growing media. Some properties of the growing media were determined using standard soil analyses methods (Kacar, 1994). The soil:sand mixture had a sandy loamy texture, non saline, slightly alkaline, low in organic matter and insufficient in phosphorus content (Table 1).

After filling the each pot with 300 cm³ soil:sand mixture, 480 pots were autoclaved. Four doses of humic acid (HA $_0$:0, HA $_1$:1000, HA $_2$:2000, HA $_3$:4000 mg kg $^{-1}$) and Ca(NO $_3$) $_2$ (CaN $_0$:0, CaN $_1$:50, CaN $_2$:100, CaN $_3$:150 mg kg $^{-1}$) were applied into the pots with three replications. Each replication was formed from ten pots. As a basic fertilizer treatment 90 mg kg $^{-1}$ P $_2$ O $_5$, 180 mg kg $^{-1}$ K $_2$ O and 250 mg kg $^{-1}$ N were also applied into each pot from Triple Super Phosphate

^{*} Corresponding author: gulserf@yahoo.com

874 Gulser et al.

(TSP), K_2SO_4 and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, respectively. Before pepper seedling, 60 mmol NaCl was added into 300 cm³ soil:sand mixture in each pot. Pepper (*Capsicum annum cv* Derme) was used as a plant material. Three pepper seeds were sown to each pot and then the seedling were thinned to one. Experiment was carried out in a plant growth room of Horticultural Department in Yüzüncü Yil University under controlled conditions. The pots were placed in a growth chamber at $22\pm1^{\circ}C$ with 8000 lux light intensity and the seedlings were irrigated with distilled water. There was not any leaching or drainage under the pots. The experiment was ended 8 weeks after the sowing. Shoot and root fresh weights (SFW and RFW), shoot and root dry weight (SDW and RDW), stem neck diameter (SND), root length (RL), shoot length (SL), leaf number (LN) of the seedlings were determined at the end of the study.

Variance analyses of the experimental data were accomplished in a completely randomized block design with two treatments (Ca(NO₃)₂ and humic acid) using SAS (1988) statistic program and significantly different means numbered according to Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

Results and Discussion

Variance analyses results for the growth criteria of pepper seedling are given in Table 2. Shoot fresh weight (SFW), root fresh weight (RFW), shoot dry weight (SDW), root dry weight (RDW), root length (RL), shoot length (SL) and leaf number (LN) were significantly influenced by the humic acid (HA) and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ (CaN) treatments. All these parameters, except shoot length (SL), were also affected by the HAxCaN interaction significantly. Stem neck diameter (SND) was only the parameter which was not affected by the treatments significantly.

Effects of humic acid and calcium treatments on the growth criteria and comparison of the means according to LSD test are given in Table 3. Humic acid application significantly increased shoot fresh weight and this increase diminished with increasing humic acid doses. While the highest mean SFW (3.80 g) was determined in HA_1 , the lowest mean SFW (1.72 g) was in HA_0 treatment. The highest mean SFW (3.72 g) determined in CaN_1 was in the same range with the mean SFW (3.68 g) in CaN_0

Table - 1: Some properties of the growing media

Total salinity (%)	0.02	P (mg kg ⁻¹)	3.78
pH (1:2.5)	8.12	K (cmol kg ⁻¹)	0.43
Organic matter (%)	0.75	Ca (cmol kg ⁻¹)	28.0

treatment according to LSD test (Table 3). The lowest mean SFW was determined as 1.21 g in CaN $_3$ treatment. The highest and the lowest SFW values (5.31 and 0.82 g) were determined in HA $_1$ xCaN $_0$ and HA $_2$ xCaN $_3$ interactions, respectively (Table 3).

Increasing root fresh weight by the HA application was diminished by the higher application doses of HA. The highest and the lowest mean RFW values were determined in HA $_{\rm 1}(1.34~{\rm g})$ and HA $_{\rm 0}$ (0.59 g), respectively (Table 3). Mean RFW (0.73 g) in HA $_{\rm 3}$ was in the same group with mean RFW (0.59 g) in HA $_{\rm 0}$ statistically. Increasing CaN doses decreased the mean RFW. Mean RFW values ranged between 1.29 g in CaN $_{\rm 1}$ and 0.37 g in CaN $_{\rm 3}$. The highest and the lowest RFW values (1.90 and 0.22 g) were determined in HA $_{\rm 1}$ xCaN $_{\rm 1}$ and HA $_{\rm 0}$ xCaN $_{\rm 3}$ interactions, respectively.

Humic acid treatments significantly increased shoot dry weight. The highest and the lowest mean SDW values were 0.47 g in HA, and 0.20 g in HA, respectively (Table 3). Even though HA, treatment increased the SDW when compared with HA, they were in the same group statistically according to LSD test. While the highest mean SDW (0.44 g) was determined in CaN, the lowest mean SDW (0.14 g) was in the CaN, treatment. On the other hand, while HA2xCaN2 interaction gave the highest SDW (0.62 g), HA₂xCaN₃ interaction gave the lowest SDW (0.09 g). Increasing HA doses increased root dry weight significantly. Mean RDW values varied between the highest (0.14 g) in HA, and the lowest (0.06 g) in HA, (Table 3). The highest mean RDW (0.14 g) was determined in CaN, and the lowest mean RDW (0.05 g) was in the CaN, treatment. While HA,xCaN, interaction had the highest RDW (0.22) g), HA,xCaN, and HA,xCaN, interactions showed the lowest RDW (0.02 g) values.

Mean stem neck diameter values in ${\rm HA_1}$ (2.96 mm) and ${\rm HA_2}$ (3.07 mm) were higher than mean SND values in ${\rm HA_0}$ (2.46 mm) and ${\rm HA_3}$ (2.53 mm) (Table 3). While the highest mean SND

Table - 2: Variance analyses for some growth criteria

Variation source	df	Shoot fresh weight		Root fresh weight		Shoot dry weight		Root dry weight	
		Mean square	F-value	Mean square	F-value	Mean square	F-value	Mean square	F-value
Humic Acid (HA) Ca(NO ₃) ₂ (CaN) HAxCaN	3 3 9	12.06 16.91 0.81	64.1** 89.8** 4.3**	1.37 1.92 0.09	36.1** 50.4** 2.3*	0.202 0.219 0.013	81.6** 88.5** 5.3**	0.020 0.017 0.002	36.6** 32.1** 3.3**
		Stem ned	k diameter	Root len	gth	Shoot length		Leafnumber	
Humic Acid (HA) Ca(NO ₃) ₂ (CaN) HAxCaN	3 3 9	226159 223315 225525	1.0 ^{ns} 1.0 ^{ns} 1.0 ^{ns}	65.01 68.27 3.13	72.9** 76.6** 3.5**	30.18 39.90 0.98	60.1** 79.4** 1.9 ns	6.83 23.36 1.17	13.7** 46.7** 2.3*

^{**} significant at 0.01 and * at 0.05; ns not significant

Table - 3: The effects of humic acid and calcium nitrate treatments on growth criteria

	Ca (NO ₃) ₂	Humic acid (mg kg ⁻¹)				
	(mg kg ⁻¹)	0	1000	2000	4000	Mean*
Shoot Fresh Weight (g)	0 50 100 150 Mean*	2.39 2.03 1.66 0.82 1.72c	5.31 5.08 3.29 1.50 3.79a	4.02 4.70 3.64 1.66 3.50a	2.98 3.08 1.98 0.84 2.22b	3.68 A 3.72 A 2.64 B 1.21 C
Root Fresh Weight (g)	0 50 100 150 Mean*	0.80 0.75 0.61 0.22 0.59c	1.68 1.90 1.24 0.53 1.34a	1.14 1.47 1.24 0.47 1.08b	0.92 1.02 0.69 0.27 0.73c	1.14 AB 1.29 A 0.95 B 0.37 C
Shoot Dry Weight(g)	0 50 100 150 Mean*	0.26 0.25 0.20 0.10 0.20b	0.44 0.60 0.43 0.19 0.42a	0.62 0.58 0.48 0.18 0.47a	0.23 0.34 0.23 0.09 0.24b	0.41 A 0.44 A 0.34 B 0.14 C
Root Dry Weight(g)	0 50 100 150 Mean*	0.07 0.08 0.06 0.02 0.06b	0.11 0.22 0.13 0.06 0.13a	0.16 0.16 0.13 0.09 0.14a	0.08 0.10 0.07 0.02 0.07b	0.11 B 0.14 A 0.10 B 0.05 C
Stem Neck Diameter(mm)	0 50 100 150 Mean	2.55 2.70 2.49 2.10 2.46	3.22 3.26 2.97 2.38 2.96	3.42 3.50 3.18 2.18 3.07	2.78 2.91 2.46 1.97 2.53	2.99 3.09 2.78 2.16
Root Lenght (cm)	0 50 100 150 Mean*	10.25 9.13 8.50 5.53 8.35c	14.47 10.97 10.02 7.89 10.84b	15.30 16.17 13.23 9.57 13.57a	10.60 11.43 7.75 5.57 8.84c	12.65 A 11.92 A 9.87 B 7.14 C
Shoot Lenght (cm)	0 50 100 150 Mean*	7.88 7.00 6.13 4.92 6.48b	11.20 10.83 9.00 6.34 9.34a	11.65 10.92 9.73 6.60 9.72a	8.45 8.72 6.57 5.18 7.23b	9.79 A 9.37 A 7.86 B 5.76 C
Leaf Number	0 50 100 150 Mean*	11.80 11.19 10.13 8.14 10.32b	12.10 12.57 12.05 9.92 11.66a	12.03 12.53 12.30 9.83 11.67a	12.10 11.97 9.43 8.30 10.45b	12.01 A 12.07 A 10.98 B 9.05 C

^{*} Means followed by different capital letters, in columns, and followed by different small letters, in lines, differ statistically at 0.01

(3.09 mm) was obtained in CaN₁, the lowest mean SND (2.16 mm) was in CaN₃ treatment. The highest mean values for root length (13.57 cm), shoot length (9.72 cm) and leaf number (11.67) were determined in HA₂ treatment (Table 3). HA₀ treatment gave the lowest mean values for RL (8.35 cm), SL (6.48 cm) and LN (10.32). While the highest mean values for RL (12.65 cm) and SL (9.79) were obtained in CaN₀ treatment, CaN₁ treatment gave the highest

mean LN (12.07). The lowest mean numbers for RL (7.14 cm), SL (5.76 cm) and LN (9.05) were determined in CaN $_3$ treatment. While the highest values for RL (16.17 cm), SL (11.65 cm) and LN (12.57) were determined in HA $_2$ xCaN $_1$, HA $_2$ xCaN $_0$ and HA $_1$ xCaN $_1$ interactions respectively, HA $_0$ xCaN $_3$ interaction had the lowest values for RL (5.53 cm), SL (4.92 cm) and LN (8.14).

According to the results, 1000 mg kg-1 (HA,) and 2000 mg kg-1 (HA_a) doses of humic acid generally had positive effects on pepper seedling growth. HA, and HA, treatments generally increased all growth criteria investigated in this study, except stem neck diameter. Even though HA, treatment slightly increased some growth criteria, effects of HA, on growth criteria were generally in the same group with the control (HA_n) treatment statistically. Ameliorative effects of humic acid on plant growth were reported by the most researchers (Böhme and Thi Lua, 1997; Türkmen et al., 2005; Sonmez and Bozkurt, 2005). Masciandaro et al. (2002) found that using a soil seeded with maize in plant growth test, presented the best result when the mixture of saline solution-humic substances was used; while the worst plant performance was obtained with NaCl solution alone. Kulikova et al. (2005) reported that humic substances might show anti-stress effects under abiotic stress conditions such as, unfavorable temperature, pH, salinity etc. Humic substances could improve plant growth under soil condition with enhancing the uptake of nutrients and reducing the uptake of some toxic elements. David et al. (1994) have reported that humic substances promoted growth and more mineral nutrient uptake of plant due to the better-developed root systems. In this study, higher doses of HA had less effects on growth criteria in pepper seedling. Türkmen et al. (2004) similarly reported that 1000 g kg⁻¹ of HA application positively affected plant growth under saline soil conditions, but higher doses of HA inhibited plant growth. Asik et al. (2009) determined that under salt stress, the lowest doses of both soil and foliar application of humic substances increased the nutrient uptake of wheat.

Ca(NO₂)₂ applications generally decreased growth criteria in this study. The first doses (50 mg kg⁻¹) of CaN significantly increased mean SDW and RDW. Even though CaN, treatment slightly increased mean values of the other growth criteria, effects of CaN, on growth criteria were generally in the same group with the control (CaN_a) treatment statistically. Ameliorative effects of Ca(NO₂), on plant growth were reported by the most researchers (Al-Harbi, 1995; Turkmen et al., 2002; Turkmen et al., 2004). 100 and 150 mg kg⁻¹ Ca(NO₂) doses generally decreased growth criteria of pepper seedling. In this study, ameliorative effects of the lowest doses of CaN on growth criteria and the decreasing growth criteria by the higher doses of CaN could be explained with negative effect of higher Ca(NO₂)₂ doses. It has been known that calcium is also a salt resource for soils. Turkmen et al. (2004) similarly found that 100, 200 and 400 mg kg-1 Ca levels did not affected growth of tomato seedling significantly. In similar studies, it was reported that there were negative effects of higher Ca doses on growth criteria (Fraschina and Chiesa, 1993).

876 Gulser et al.

As a result, it was determined that under saline condition, lower doses (1000 and 2000 mg kg⁻¹) of humic acid had positive effects on pepper seedling growth, while higher doses of humic acid (4000 mg kg⁻¹) and ${\rm Ca(NO_3)_2}$ (100 and 150 mg kg⁻¹) had negative effects on the plant growth in pepper seedling. Therefore, lower the humic acid dose applications, greater the plant growth due to possible positive effects of HA on nutrient uptake of plant under saline condition.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to Yuzuncu Yil University for the financial support of this study as a scientific research project (2006 ZF-B64).

References

- Al-Harbi, A.R.: Growth and nutrient composition of tomato and cucumber as affected by sodium chloride salinty and supplemental calcium. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **18**, 1403-1416 (1995).
- Asik, B.B., M.A. Turan, H. Celik and A.V. Katkat: Effects of humic substances on plant growth and mineral nutrients uptake of wheat (*Triticum durum* cv. Salihli) under conditions of salinity. Asian J. Crop Sci., 1, 87-95 (2009).
- Bhattacharjee, S.: Triadimefon pretreatment protects newly assembled membrane system and causes up-regulation of stress proteins in salinity stressed *Amaranthus lividus* L. during early germination. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **29**, 805-810 (2008).
- Bohme, M. and H. Thi Lua: Influence of mineral and organic treatments in the rizosphere on the growth of tomato plants. *Acta Hortic.*, **450**, 161-168 (1997).
- Busch, D.S.: Calcium regulation in plant cell and his role in signaling. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **46**, 95-102 (1995).
- Cacco,G. and G. Dell Agnolla: Plant growth regulator activity of soluble humic substances. *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, **64**, 25-28 (1984).
- Cramer, G.R., E. Epstein and A. Lauchli: Effects of sodium, potassium and calcium on salt-stressed barley. *II. Elemental Analysis Physiol. Plant*, **81**, 197-202 (1991).
- David, P.P., P.V. Nelson and D.C. Sanders: A humic acid improves growth of tomato seedling in solution culture. J. Plant Nutr., 17, 173-184 (1994).
- Donahue, R.L., R.V. Miller and J.C. Shickluna: Soils, an introduction to soils and plant growth. *Prentice Hall*, Englewood cliffs, NJ (1983).
- DePascale, S. and G. Barbieri: Effect of soil salinity and top removal on growth and yield of broadbean as green vegetable. *Scientia Hort.*, **71**, 147-165 (1997).
- Ehret, D.L., R.E. Remann, B.L. Harvey and A. Cpywnyk: Salinty-induced calcium deficiencies in wheat and barley. *Plant Soil*, **128**, 143-151 (1990).
- Fraschina, A. and A. Chiesa: Salinty tolerance of tomato cultivars during germination. Investigation Agrarira Production. *Protection Vegetables*, **8**, 341-343 (1993).
- Kacar, B.: Bitki ve topragin kimyasal analizleri: III. Toprak analizleri. A.U. Ziraat Fak. Egitim Aras. ve Gelistirme Vakfi Yay No: 3 (Chemical analysis of plant and soil: III Soil analysis, Ankara University, Agricultural faculty, Education and Research Foundation Publications No. 3) Ankara, Turkey (1994).

Karim, M.A., Y. Fracheboud and P. Stamp: Photosynthetic activity of developing leaves of Zea Mays is less affected by heat stress than of developed leaves. *Physiol. Plant*, **105**, 685-693 (1999).

- Kim, S.K., E.Y. Sohn, G.J. Joo and I.J. Lee: Influence of jasmonic acid of endogenous gibberellin and abscisic acid in salt stressed chord plant. J. Environ. Biol., 30, 333-338 (2009).
- Kulikova, N.A., E.V. Stepanova and O.V. Koroleva: Mitigating activity of humic substances: Direct influence on biota. In: Use of humic substances to remediate polluted environments: From theory to practice (Ed.: I.U. Perminova). NATO Science Series IV. Earth and Environmental Series. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 285-309 (2005).
- Lazof, D.B. and N. Berstein: The NaCl inhibition of shoot growth: The case for disturbed nutrition with special consideration of calcium. Adv. Bot. Res.,, 29, 113-189 (1999).
- Lichtenthaler, H.K., G. Langsdorf, S. Lenk and C. Buschmann: Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging of photosynthetic activity with the flash-lamp florescence imaging system. *Photosynthetica*, **43**, 355-359 (2005).
- Lauchli, A. and E. Epstein: Plant response to salinity and sodic conditions. In: Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management (Ed.: K.K. Tanji). American Society of Civil Engineers, Manual and Report Engineering Practice, 71, 113-137 (1990).
- Maas, E.V. and S.R. Grattan: Crop yields as affected by salinty. In: Agron. Monogr. No. 38 (Eds.: R.W. Skaggs and J. van Schilfgaarde). J. Am. Soc. Agron, Modison, WI, 55-108 (1999).
- Masciandaro, G., B. Ceccanti, V. Ronchi, S. Benedicto and L. Howard: Humic substances to reduce salt effect on plant germination and growth. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 33, 365-378 (2002).
- Mer, R.K., P.K. Prajith, D.H. Pandya and A.N. Pandey: Effect of salts on germination of seeds and growth of young plants of Hordeum Vulgare, Triticum aestivum, Cicer arietinum and Brassica juncea. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, **185**, 209-217 (2000).
- Ozdener, Y. and H. Guray Kutbay: Effect of salinity and temperature on the germination of *Spergularia marina* seeds and ameliorating effect of ascorbic and salicylic acids. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **29**, 959-964 (2008).
- Parida, A.K. and A.B. Das: Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: A review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety*, **60**, 324-329 (2005).
- Russo, R.O. and G.P. Berlyn: The use of organic biostimulants to help low input sustainable agriculture. J. Sustain. Agric., 1, 19-42 (1990).
- SAS: Pc SAS User's Guide: Statistics SAS Inst. Inc. Cary, NC (1988).
- Sonmez, F. and M.A. Bozkurt: Lettuce grown on calcareous soils benefit from sewage sludge. Acta Agric. Scandinavica, B, 56, 17-24 (2005).
- Türkmen, O., S. Demir, S. Sensoy and A. Dursun: Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and humic acid on seedling development and nutrient content of pepper grown under saline soil conditions. *J. Biol.* Sci., 5, 568-574 (2005).
- Türkmen, O., A. Dursun, M. Turan and C. Erdinc: Calcium and humic acid affect seed germination, growth and nutrient content of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) seedlings under saline soil conditions. *Acta Horticul. Scandinavica B.*, **54**, 168-174 (2004).
- Turkmen, O., S. Sensoy, I. Erdal and T. Kabay: Tuzlu kosullarda domateste cikis ve fide gelisimi uzerine kalsiyum uygulamalarinin etkileri Yuzuncu Yil Universitesi (Effects of calcium on the emergence and seedling growth of tomatoes grown in salty grown media condtitions. Yuzuncu Yil University). J. Agric. Sci., 12, 53-57 (2002).